Exhibit A



IN THE 43RD JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, CLINTON COUNTY, MISSOURI

Judge or Division: R BRENT ELLIOTT	Case Number: 20CN-CC00065	FILED 10/14/2020
Plaintiff/Petitioner: MICHAEL BAKER	Plaintiff's/Petitioner's Attorney/Address CHARLES JASON BROWN 301 S US HIGHWAY 169 vs. GOWER, MO 64454-9116	ANGELIA STONE CIRCUIT CLERK EX-OFFICIO RECORDEI CLINTON CO, MO
Defendant/Respondent: TGRC THE GATES CORPORATION	Court Address: 207 NORTH MAIN	
Nature of Suit: CC Other Miscellaneous Actions	PLATTSBURG, MO 64477	(Date File Stamp)

Summons in Civil Case The State of Missouri to: TGRC THE GATES CORPORATION Aliae. C/O C T CORPORATION SYSTEM 120 SOUTH CENTRAL AVENUE CLAYTON, MO 63105 COURT SEAL OF You are summoned to appear before this court and to file your pleading to the petition, a copy of which is attached, and to serve a copy of your pleading upon the attorney for plaintiff/petitioner at the above address all within 30 days after receiving this summons, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to file your pleading, judgment by default may be taken against you for the relief demanded in the petition. 10/14/2020 **CLINTON COUNTY** Date Further Information: Sheriff's or Server's Return Note to serving officer: Summons should be returned to the court within 30 days after the date of issue. I certify that I have served the above summons by: (check one) delivering a copy of the summons and a copy of the petition to the defendant/respondent. ☐ leaving a copy of the summons and a copy of the petition at the dwelling place or usual abode of the defendant/respondent with ______, a person of the defendant's/respondent's family over the age of 15 years who permanently resides with the defendant/respondent. (for service on a corporation) delivering a copy of the summons and a copy of the complaint to: _____ (name) _____ ______(County/City of St. Louis), MO, on ______(date) at _____(time). Printed Name of Sheriff or Server Signature of Sheriff or Server Must be sworn before a notary public if not served by an authorized officer: Subscribed and sworn to before me on (date). (Seal) My commission expires: __ Notary Public Sheriff's Fees, if applicable Summons Non Est Sheriff's Deputy Salary Supplemental Surcharge _____ (____ miles @ \$.____ per mile) Mileage Total A copy of the summons and a copy of the petition must be served on each defendant/respondent. For methods of service on all

classes of suits, see Supreme Court Rule 54.

20CN-CC00065

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF CLINTON COUNTY, MISSOURI

MICHAEL BAKER,)
Individually And On Behalf Of)
All Others,)
)
Plaintiffs,)
) Case No.:
vs.)
) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
TGRC THE GATES CORPORATION)
Registered Agent:)
C T Corporation System)
120 South Central Avenue)
Clayton, MO 63105)
)
)
Defendant.)

PETITION

COMES NOW the Plaintiff, Michael Baker, by and through his attorneys, and brings the following complaint against Defendant, TGRC The Gates Corporation, ("Defendant"), pursuant to the Fair Credit Reporting Act ("FCRA").

PRELIMINARY STATEMENTS

- 1. Plaintiff brings this action against Defendant for violations of the FCRA.
- 2. Defendant obtained information concerning the Plaintiff from Truework.
- 3. Defendant paid a fee to Truework for the information it obtained concerning the Plaintiff.
- 4. The information obtained from the third party concerning the Plaintiff was a Consumer Report (as a Consumer Report is defined pursuant to the FCRA).
- Defendant relies on information in Consumer Reports to make decisions regarding prospective or current employees.
- 6. Defendant relies on information in Consumer Reports, in whole or in part, as a

- basis for adverse employment action; such as a refusal to hire and/or termination.
- 7. Plaintiff asserts FCRA claims against Defendant on behalf of himself and a class of Defendant's employees and prospective employees whose rights under the FCRA were violated.
- 8. On behalf of himself and all class members, Plaintiff seeks statutory damages, punitive damages, costs and attorneys fees, and all other relief available pursuant to the FCRA.

PARTIES

- Plaintiff is a resident of Columbia, Missouri. Plaintiff is a member of the Putative Classes defined below.
- Defendant is a foreign company doing business in Missouri and throughout the United States.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 11. This court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's FCRA claim pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §

 1681p and Article V, Section 14(a) of the Constitution of Missouri.
- 12. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to R.S.Mo. 508.010.2(4).

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 13. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 14. Plaintiff applied in person for employment with Defendant in or about August of 2020.
- 15. Plaintiff completed the employment application and had an interview with Defendant.
- 16. Plaintiff completed the consumer report request form attached as Exhibit 1.

- 17. The Disclosure Form was confusing to the Plaintiff.
- 18. The Disclosure Form contained multiple pieces of information and the Plaintiff could not understand the purpose of the Form.
- 19. The Disclosure Form was used by the Defendant to procure a Consumer Report on the Plaintiff.
- 20. Plaintiff did not know that the intent of the disclosure form was to provide the Defendant with complete access to his personal information.
- 21. Plaintiff had a second interview with Defendant.
- 22. Defendant ran a consumer report on Plaintiff.
- 23. Approximately two days after the second interview, Plaintiff received a phone call and was told that Defendant could not hire him due to his Consumer Report.
- 24. Plaintiff was never provided a copy of the Consumer Report.
- 25. Denying the Plaintiff a job because of information in his Consumer Report is an adverse action (as an adverse action is defined pursuant to the FCRA).
- 26. Plaintiff did not receive a copy of the Consumer Report prior to the adverse action and has never received a copy of the Consumer Report.
- 27. Plaintiff was not provided with a reasonable amount of time to challenge or address the information in his Consumer Report.
- 28. Plaintiff was not provided with an FCRA summary of rights prior to the adverse action that was based on information in her Consumer Report.
- 29. Plaintiff did not fully understand his FCRA rights and did not know how or if he could properly challenge the adverse action.
- 30. Defendant's sudden denial of employment caused the Plaintiff to suffer stress,

- anxiety, lost compensation, and lost opportunity.
- 31. Defendant's denial of employment prior to the receipt of the Consumer Report and the FCRA Summary of Rights left the Plaintiff confused regarding the ability to address any the information in the Consumer Report.
- 32. Plaintiff may have been able to retain employment if given the proper opportunity to address the information in the Consumer Report.
- Defendant contracted with Truework that it would provide a copy of the Consumer Report and a copy of the FCRA Summary of Rights before any adverse action was taken based in whole or in part on information contained within a Consumer Report.
- 34. On information and belief the agreement(s) made between the Defendant and the Consumer Reporting Agency contain promises that require the Defendant to abide by the protections provided by 15 U.S.C. §1681b(b)(3).

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 35. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 36. Plaintiff asserts the following proposed class defined as:

ADVERSE ACTION CLASS: All employees or prospective employees of Defendant that suffered an adverse employment action on or after October 8, 2018, that was based, in whole or in part, on information contained in a Consumer Report, and who were not provided a copy of such report, a reasonable notice period in which to address the information contained in the Consumer Report, and/or a written description of their rights in accordance with the FCRA in advance of said adverse employment action.

Proposed Improper Disclosure Class: All employees or prospective employees of Defendant in the United States who were subject of a consumer report that was obtained by Defendant on or after October 8, 2018, and who executed the Defendant's FCRA disclosure form(s).

Proposed Authorization Class: All employees or prospective employees of

Defendant in the United States who were subject of a consumer report that was obtained by Defendant on or after October 8, 2018, and who did not authorize the procurement of a consumer report by the Defendant.

Numerosity

37. The proposed class is so numerous that joinder of all class members is impracticable. Defendant regularly obtains and uses information in Consumer Reports to evaluate individuals for employment purposes. Defendant relies on the information contained it said Consumer Reports, in whole or in part, as a basis for adverse employment action. Given the nature of Defendant's business, Plaintiff believes that during the relevant time period, a sufficient number of individuals would fall within the definition of the class.

Common Questions of Law and Fact

- 38. Virtually all of the issues of law and fact in this class action or, to the class predominate over any questions affecting individual class members. Among the questions of law and fact common to the class are:
 - a. Whether Defendant uses Consumer Report information to conduct adverse actions on employees and prospective employees;
 - b. Whether Defendants' disclosure form violates the FCRA;
 - c. Whether Defendant violated the FCRA by taking adverse action against Plaintiff and other members of the Adverse Action class on the basis of information in a Consumer Report, without first providing a copy of the report to the affected individuals;
 - d. Whether the Defendant violated the FCRA by failing to provide the Plaintiff and other members of the Adverse Action Class with a reasonable amount of time to explain the contents of the consume report or cure any inaccuracy within the Consumer Report prior to the adverse employment action;
 - e. Whether Defendant's violations of the FCRA were willful;

f. The proper measure of statutory damages and punitive damages.

Typicality

39. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the members of the proposed class. The FCRA violations suffered by Plaintiff are typical of those suffered by other class members. Defendant obtains Consumer Reports on individuals before and/or without providing proper disclosure or obtaining authorization. Defendant typically uses consumer reports to conduct background checks on employees and prospective employees, but fails to provide the consumer report before taking an adverse employment action based on information contained in said report. The FCRA violations suffered by Plaintiff are typical of those suffered by other class members and the Defendant treated Plaintiff consistent with other Putative Class members in accordance with its standard policies and practices.

Adequacy of Representation

40. Plaintiff, as representative of the class, will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class and has no interest that conflict with or are antagonistic to the interest of the class members. Plaintiff has retained attorneys competent and experienced in class action litigation. No conflict exists between Plaintiff and members of the class.

Superiority

41. A class action is superior to any other available method for the fair and efficient adjudication this controversy, and common questions of law and fact overwhelmingly predominate over individual questions that may arise.

- 42. This case is maintainable as a class action under Rule 52 of the Missouri Rules of Civil Procedure because prosecution of actions by or against individual members of the putative class would result in inconsistent or varying adjudications and create the risk of incompatible standards of conduct for Defendant. Further, adjudication of each individual class member's claim as a separate action will potentially be dispositive of the interest of other individuals not a party to such action, impeding their ability to protect their interests.
- 43. This case is maintainable as a class action under Rule 52 of the Missouri Rules of Civil Procedure because Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds that apply generally to the class, so that any final relief is appropriate respecting the class as a whole.
- 44. Class certification is also appropriate under Rule 52 of the Missouri Rules of Civil Procedure because questions of law and fact common to the putative class predominate over any questions affecting only individual members of the putative class, and because a class action superior to other methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this litigation. Defendant's conduct described in this Complaint stems from common and uniform policies and practices, resulting in common violations of the FCRA. Members of the Putative Class do not have an interest in pursuing separate actions against the Defendant, as the amount of each class member's individual claims is small compared to the expense and burden of individual prosecution. Class certification also will obviate the need for unduly duplicative litigation that might result the inconsistent judgments concerning Defendant's practices. Moreover, management of this action as a class action will

- not present any likely difficulties. In the interests of justice and judicial efficiencies, it would be desirable to concentrate the litigation of all putative class members' claims in a single forum.
- 45. Plaintiff intends to send notice to all members of the putative class to the extent required by Rule 52 of the Missouri Rules of Civil Procedure. The names and address of the potential class members are available from Defendant's records.

FCRA VIOLATIONS COUNT I

Adverse Action Violations

- 46. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 47. Defendant obtained a "Consumer Report," as defined by the FCRA, concerning the Plaintiff.
- 48. Defendant used a Consumer Report, as defined by the FCRA, to take adverse employment action against the Plaintiff, and on information and belief, other members of the adverse action class.
- 49. Defendant violated the FCRA by failing to provide Plaintiff, and other adverse action class members, with a copy of the Consumer Report that was used to take adverse employment action against them prior to the adverse action.
- 50. Defendant violated the FCRA by failing to provide the Plaintiff and other adverse action class members with a reasonable time to address the information in the Consumer Report, explain the information in the Consumer Report, and/or or cure any inaccuracies within the Consumer Reports prior to the adverse action.
- 51. Had Defendant complied with the FCRA, Plaintiff would have been given a copy

- of the Summary of Rights.
- 52. Had Defendant complied with the FCRA, Plaintiff would have been given more time to review, dispute and/or address the information contained in the Consumer Report.
- 53. Plaintiff was not provided with a reasonable amount of time to challenge any inaccuracy that was present within the Consumer Report before being denied employment.
- 54. Plaintiff was not provided with a FCRA Summary of Rights prior to the adverse action that was based in whole or in part upon the Consumer Report.
- 55. The Defendant's failure to provide the Plaintiff a copy of the Consumer Report or the FCRA Summary of Rights denied the Plaintiff a meaningful opportunity to address the information contained within the Consumer Report.
- 56. Being provided a copy of the Consumer Report before termination, in compliance with the FCRA, would have helped the Plaintiff understand what information the Defendant was using against his.
- 57. A copy of the Summary of Rights before denied employment would have helped the Plaintiff understand what his rights were and would have provided valuable information that may have assisted the Plaintiff in maintaining employment.
- 58. Defendant's failure to comply with the unambiguous mandates of the FCRA injured the Plaintiff.
- 59. The foregoing violations were willful. Defendant acted in deliberate or reckless disregard of its obligations and rights of Plaintiff and other individuals under the provisions of the FCRA. Defendant's willful conduct is reflected by, among other

things, the following facts:

- a. Defendant has access to legal advice through outside employment counsel;
- b. The Defendant has ignored regulatory guidance from FTC Informal Staff Opinions and the unambiguous language of the FCRA; and
- c. 15 U.S.C. §1681-1681y, addresses the requirement of obtaining and using a Consumer Report.
- 60. Plaintiff and the proposed class members are entitled to statutory damages of not less than \$100 and not more than \$1000 for each and every one of these violations, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1681n(a)(1)(A).
- 61. Plaintiff and the proposed class members are also entitled to punitive damages for these violations, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1681n(a)(2).
- 62. Plaintiff and the proposed class members are further entitled to recover their costs and attorneys' fees, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1681n(a)(3).

COUNT III

Failure to Obtain Proper Authorization in Violation of FCRA 15 U.S.C. § 1681b(b)(2)(A)(ii)

- 63. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 64. The Defendant violated the FCRA by procuring consumer reports relating to Plaintiff and other Putative Class members without proper authorization.
- 65. The Defendant acted in deliberate or reckless disregard of its obligations and the rights of Plaintiff and other Class members under 15 U.S.C. § 1681b(b)(2)(A)(ii).
- 66. The Defendant's willful conduct is reflected by, among other things, the facts previously set forth.
- 67. Plaintiff and the Putative Class members are entitled to statutory damages of not less than \$100 and not more than \$1000 for each and every one of these

- violations, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(1)(A).
- 68. Plaintiff and the Putative Class members are also entitled to punitive damages for these violations pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(2).
- 69. Plaintiff and the Putative Class members are further entitled to recover their costs and attorneys' fees, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(3).

COUNT IIIFailure to Make Proper Disclosure in Violation of FCRA

- 70. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 71. Defendant violated the FCRA by the use of a disclosure form that failed to provide a disclosure due to the confusion caused by the form.
- 72. Defendant's disclosure form contains a waiver of liability in violation of the FCRA.
- 73. Defendant's form is not clear and conspicuous due to the additional information.
- 74. Defendant's form violates FCRA's "standalone document" requirement by the inclusion of other information such as the liability waiver and statements of law that are incomplete or inaccurate.
- 75. The foregoing violations were willful.
- 76. Defendants knew that the disclosure form should consist of no more than the disclosure to obtain a consumer report and authorization to obtain a consumer report.
- 77. The Defendants' willful conduct is reflected by, among other things the following facts:
- 78. Defendants are large corporations with access to legal advice through Iowa 80's

- own General Counsel's office and outside employment counsel;
- 79. Defendants have ignored the plain unambiguous language of the FCRA and regulatory guidance from FTC Informal Staff Opinions;
- 80. Defendants' disclosure is not a standalone document consisting solely of the disclosure; and

The FCRA requires consumer reporting agencies to provide notice to users of consumer reports of the users legal obligations under the FCRA prior to the procurement of consumer reports, despite such knowledge Defendants persisted in the conduct that violated the Plaintiff and Putative Class members' rights under the FCRA.

- Plaintiff and the Putative Class members are entitled to statutory damages of not less than \$100 and not more than \$1000 for each and every one of these violations, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(1)(A).
- 82. Plaintiff and the Putative Class members are also entitled to punitive damages for these violations pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(2).
- 83. Plaintiff and the Putative Class members are further entitled to recover their costs and attorneys' fees, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(3).

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court issue an Order for the following:

- a. Order that this action may proceed as a class action under Rule 52 of the
 Missouri Rules of Civil Procedure;
- b. Order designating Plaintiff as class representative and designating Plaintiff's counsel as counsel for the Putative Class;
- c. Order directing proper notice to be mailed to the Putative Class at Defendants' expense;

- d. Order finding that Defendants committed multiple, separate violations of the FCRA;
- e. Order finding that Defendants acted willfully in deliberate or reckless disregard of Plaintiff's rights and its obligations of the FCRA;
- f. Order awarding statutory damages and punitive damages as provided the FCRA;
- g. Order awarding reasonable attorneys' fees and costs as provided by the FCRA; and
- h. Order granting other and further relief, in law or equity, as this Court may deem appropriate and just.

Demand for Jury Trial

Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial on all causes of action and claims with respect to which Plaintiff and all members of the proposed class have a right to jury trial.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ C. Jason Brown
Charles Jason Brown KS 70700
Jayson A. Watkins KS 78463
Brown & Watkins LLC
301 S. US 169 Hwy
Gower Missouri 64454

Tel: 816-505-4529 Fax: 816-424-1337

brown@brownandwatkins.com watkins@brownandwatkins.com ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

20CN-CC00065

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF CLINTON COUNTY, MISSOURI

MICHAEL BAKER,)
Individually And On Behalf Of)
All Others,)
)
Plaintiffs,)
) Case No.:
vs.)
) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
TGRC THE GATES CORPORATION,)
Defendant.)

FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT Plaintiff requests that Defendant produce for inspection and copying the documents described below. Production shall be made at the office of Plaintiff's attorneys, C. Jason Brown and Jayson A. Watkins, Brown & Watkins LLC; 301 S. US 169 Hwy; Gower Missouri 64454, within the time prescribed by the applicable rules of civil procedure or at-such other time and place as may be mutually agreed.

If there are documents responsive to any of the foregoing Requests which Defendant refuses to produce, please define or designate the documents in your Response with sufficient particularity, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, to allow us to make a motion for a court order to require production of these documents.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT Plaintiff will object to the introduction at trial of any document which is not produced in response to the foregoing Requests and to any evidence about any requested document which has not been revealed by an appropriate response to this Request for Production of Documents.

Plaintiff will at the time of trial move the court for an order excluding from evidence all tangible or intangible things known to you at the time of your responses to these Requests and Interrogatories not disclosed in your response thereto.

REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION

<u>Request No. 1:</u> Any and all documents the Defendant used to disclose to the Plaintiff that a consumer report would be procured for employment purposes.

Response:

Request No. 2: Any and all documents in which the Defendant received authorization from the Plaintiff to obtain a consumer report for employment purposes.

Response:

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ C. Jason Brown
C. Jason Brown MO #49952
Jayson A. Watkins MO #61434
Brown & Watkins LLC
301 S. US 169 Hwy
Gower Missouri 64454
Tel: 816-424-1390

Fax: 816-424-1337 watkins@brownandwatkins.com ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF CLINTON COUNTY, MISSOURI

MICHAEL BAKER,) FILED 10/15/2020
Individually And On Behalf Of	ANGELIA STONE
•	CIRCUIT CLERK EX-OFFICIO RECORDER
All Others,	CLINTON CO, MO
)
Plaintiffs,	ý
) Case No.:
vs.)
) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
TGRC THE GATES CORPORATION,)
Defendant.)

ORDER FOR APPOINTMENT OF PRIVATE PROCESS SERVER

It is hereby ordered that Plaintiff's Motion for Appointment of Private Process

Server is sustained and Martin Hueckel who is over the age of 18 and not a party to this action, is hereby appointed to serve process in the above captioned matter.

DATE: Oct 15, 2020

Judge or Circuit Clerk

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF CLINTON COUNTY, MISSOURI

MICHAEL BAKER,)
Individually And On Behalf Of)
All Others,)
)
Plaintiffs,)
) Case No.:
vs.)
) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
TGRC THE GATES CORPORATION,)
Defendant.)

MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF PRIVATE PROCESS SERVER

Comes now Plaintiff, by and through counsel, and pursuant to Local Rule of Clinton County, hereby moves for the appointment of Martin Hueckel as private process server in the above captioned-matter. Plaintiff states that Martin Hueckel is over the age of 18 and is not a party to this action.

By: /s/ C. Jason Brown

Charles Jason Brown MO 49952 Jayson A. Watkins MO 61434

Brown & Watkins LLC 301 S. US 169 Hwy Gower Missouri 64454

Tel: 816-424-1390 Fax: 816-424-1337

brown@brownandwatkins.com

watkins@brownandwatkins.com ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFFS

20CN-CC00065

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF CLINTON COUNTY MISSOURI

MICHAEL BAKER,)	
Individually And On Behalf Of)	
All Others,)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	
)	Case No.:
VS.)	
)	
TGRC THE GATES CORPORATION)	
Defendant.)	

ENTRY OF APPEARANCE

COMES NOW Jayson Watkins and hereby enters his appearance as counsel of record for Plaintiff and those similarly situated in the above captioned matter.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Jayson A. Watkins Jayson A. Watkins MO #61434 Brown & Watkins LLC 301 S. US 169 Hwy Gower Missouri 64454 Tel: 816-424-1390

Fax: 816-424-1337 watkins@brownandwatkins.com ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

IN THE 43RD JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, CLINTON COUNTY, MISSOURI

40000				
Judge or Division:		Case Number: 20CN-C0	200065	FILED
R BRENT ELLIOTT				10/14/2020
Plaintiff/Petitioner:		Plaintiff's/Petitioner's Atto	rnev/Address	ANGELIA STONE
MICHAEL BAKER		CHARLES JASON BROV	VN	CIRCUIT CLERK
MONALE BAKEK		301 S US HIGHWAY 169		EX-OFFICIO RECORDE
	VS.	GOWER, MO 64454-911		CLINTON CO, MO
Defendant/Respondent:		Court Address:		
TGRC THE GATES COR	POPATION	207 NORTH MAIN		
Nature of Suit:	a oranion	PLATTSBURG, MO 644	77	
CC Other Miscellaneous	Actions			
CC Offici Miscellaneous				(Date File Stamp)
		mmons in Civil Ca	se	
The State of Missouri t	o: TGRC THE GATES	CORPORATION		
	Alias:			
C/O C T CORPORATION SYS	STEM			
120 SOUTH CENTRAL AVEN	UE			
CLAYTON, MO 63105				
COURT SEAL OF	You are summone	d to appear before this co	ourt and to file your pl	leading to the petition, a
COURTOR		ttached, and to serve a co		
	nlaintiff/netitioner	at the above address all v	within 30 days after re	ceiving this summons
13/13 (18)		ly of service. If you fail to		
				uginent by derault may
		ou for the relief demande	a in the petition.	
	10/14/2	020	(May lin St.	
CLINTON COUNTY	Date		Clerk	
	Further Information:			i
	: Summons should be retu	neriff's or Server's Return med to the court within 30 day		
	ed the above summons by:			
delivering a copy of t	he summons and a copy o	f the petition to the defendant/	respondent.	
leaving a copy of the	summons and a copy of the	ne petition at the dwelling place		
45		, a person of the	ne defendant's/responden	it's family over the age of
15 years who perma	anently resides with the de	fendant/respondent.		
IVI (for service on a con	oration) delivering a copy	of the summons and a copy of	the complaint to:	- "
DOANG	2 2004	(name) <u> </u>	Engage	(title).
other:				·
Second et 120	S Constral	de planta	100 6310	f
Served at	- Luijia	Hove, Clay fon ty of St. Louis), MO, on 11/6	1	(address)
in <u>STlea</u>	<u>ペグ</u> (County/Cil	ty of St. Louis), MO, on <u>/// Ø</u>	12/2020 (date	e) at <u>153 pm</u> (time).
		1 1		
My.	ten Huech	cel	Martin K	ford I
Printed Nan	ne of Sheriff or Server		Signature of Sher	
	Must be sworn before a ne	otary public if not served by an	authorized officer:	
	Subscribed and sworn to	before me on//	15/2020 1	date).
(Seal)			20 00 =	1
	My commission expires:	1/28/23	Shelby I	Cohen
	,	Date	Notar	y Public
Sheriff's Fees, if applical			VigVe.	SHELBY ROBERTS
Summons	\$		Jan LOS	My Commission Expires
	÷		NOTARY	January 28, 2023
Non Est	Φ		SEAL SEAL	St. Louis County
Sheriff's Deputy Salary	A 48.77		Pocus	Commission #19503579
Supplemental Surcharge	\$ <u>10.00</u>		SA Wille	CORRESSION #190030/9
Mileage	\$(_	miles @ \$ per n	nile)	
Total	\$	 •		

classes of suits, see Supreme Court Rule 54.

A copy of the summons and a copy of the petition must be served on each defendant/respondent. For methods of service on all

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

State of Missouri

County of Clinton

Circuit Court

Case Number: 20CN-CC00065

Plaintiff/Potitioner:

MICHAEL BAKER, individually and on behalf of all others

VS.

Defendant/Respondent:

TGRC THE GATES CORPORATION

Received by HPS Process Service & Investigations to be served on TGRC The Gates Corporation, c/o CT Corporation System, 120 South Central Avenue, Clayton, MO 63105.

I, MARTIN HUECKEL, being duly sworn, depose and say that on the 2nd day of November, 2020 at 1:55 pm, I:

Served the within named establishment by delivering a true copy of Summons in Civil Case; Petition; First Request for Production of Documents; Order for Appointment of Private Process Server; and Motion for Appointment of Private Process Server to Bonnie Love, Intake Specialist at the address of 120 South Central Avenue, Clayton, MO 63105.

I am over the age of eighteen, and have no interest in the above action.

ay

Subscribed and Sworn to before me on the 5 d

personally known to me.

SHELBY ROBERTS
My Commission Expires

January 28, 2023 St. Louis County

Commission #195035782ht © 1992-2020 Database Services, Inc. - Process Server's Toolbox V8.1t

MARTIN HUECKEL.
Process Server

HPS Process Service & Investigations www.hpsprocess.com 1669 Jefferson Kansas City, MO 64108

Our Job Serial Number: HAT-2020020935

Kansas City, MO 6410 (800) 796-9559